TO: President Jack Miller  
FROM: President of the University Senate

1. The attached motion of the University Senate, dealing with
   [Handwritten text: WAB report administration development]
   is presented to you for your consideration. Two additional copies are included for your use.

2. This motion was adopted by the University Senate on [Handwritten text: March 10, 2008]

3. After considering this motion, please indicate your action on this form, and return it
   together with the original copy to the President of the University Senate.

4. Under the By-Laws of the University Senate, Section 3.8, the following schedule of action
   is to be observed.
   a) By [Handwritten text: _______], Senate action reported to the President of University.
      (Date)
      (Within five school days of the session in which they are adopted).
   b) By [Handwritten text: _______], President of the University to return the motion to the
      (Date)
      President of the Senate. (Within 10 school days of its receipt).

[Signature: [Handwritten text: Tim Craine]  
President, University Senate

ENDORSEMENT:
TO: President of the University Senate
FROM: President Jack Miller

1. Motion Approved  
2. Motion Disapproved  
   (Explanatory statement must be appended)
3. Action "is deferred"  
4. Resolution Noted  
5. Other  

[Handwritten text: 4/8/08]  
Date
Senator Spector moved, from pages 13 an 14 of the UAB report, that the University administration develop a measurement tool for the following four key measurable areas that “can be used to help the University community gauge whether or not its present athletic operation and Division I status are compatible with its goals:

· Team APR and overall departmental score
· Competitiveness
· Fundraising
· Public Relations

Additionally, benchmarks and milestones should be implemented with the goal of reviewing ICA’s [Intercollegiate Athletics’] progress on a six to seven year basis. At the conclusion of such a timeline, it would be appropriate for a community discussion about the status of ICA and CCSU....[A] yearly report to Senate regarding these four measures would appropriately prepare Senate for an evaluative discussion of our Division I status in another six to seven years. Equally importantly, we must know the extent to which our Division I status influences the decisions of students to enroll. This might be accomplished with several probing questions on the biennial CIRP Freshman Survey”:

Passed.