RECEIVED B07/08 42 R President's Office Central Connecticut State University University Senate Motion MAR 3 1 2008 TO: President Jack Miller Central Connecticut State University Senate FROM: New Britain, Cent of the University Senate The attached motion of the University Senate, dealing with denin Stration is presented to you for your consideration. Two additional copies are included for your use. This motion was adopted by the University Senate on ______ Morth 10 200 8 After considering this motion, please indicate your action on this form, and return it together with the original copy to the President of the University Senate. Under the By-Laws of the University Senate, Section 3.8, the following schedule of action is to be observed. _____, Senate action reported to the President of University. (Within five school days of the session in which they are adopted).

____, President of the University to return the motion to the b) President of the Senate. (Within 10 school days of its receipt). (Date) (Tim Craine)

ENDORSEMENT:

2.

3.

TO:

President of the University Senate

FROM:

President Jack Miller

Motion Approved __

Motion Disapproved __ (Explanatory statement must be appended)

Action "is deferred" _____

3.

Resolution Noted _____ 4.

5.

Senator Spector moved, from pages 13 an 14 of the UAB report, that the University administration develop a measurement tool for the following four key measurable areas that "can be used to help the University community gauge whether or not its present athletic operation and Division I status are compatible with its goals:

- · Team APR and overall departmental score
- $\cdot \ Competitiveness$
- ·Fundraising
- · Public Relations

Additionally, benchmarks and milestones should be implemented with the goal of reviewing ICA's [Intercollegiate Athletics'] progress on a six to seven year basis. At the conclusion of such a timeline, it would be appropriate for a community discussion about the status of ICA and CCSU....[A] yearly report to Senate regarding these four measures would appropriately prepare Senate for an evaluative discussion of our Division I status in another six to seven years. Equally importantly, we must know the extent to which our Division I status influences the decisions of students to enroll. This might be accomplished with several probing questions on the biennial CIRP Freshman Survey": Passed.