3	Central Connecticut State University	
	University Senate Motion 5	-28-06-M
TO: FRO		
1.	The attached motion of the University Senate, dealing with Faulty Senate By Law S	
	is presented to you for your consideration. Two additional copies are included for you	ır use.
2.	This motion was adopted by the University Senate on 11/20/05	
3.	After considering this motion, please indicate your action on this form, and return it together with the original copy to the President of the University Senate.	
4.	Under the By-Laws of the University Senate, Section 3.8, the following schedule of ac is to be observed. a) By 12.06.05, Senate action reported to the President of University. (Date) (Within five school days of the session in which they are adopted). b) By 12.06.05, President of the University to return the motion to the (Date) President of the Senate. (Within 10 school days of its receive).	tion
ENIDO	ORSEMENT: (Tim Craine)	
END	TO: President of the University Senate	
	FROM: President Jack Miller	k
1.	Motion Approved Aug 11111	,
2.	Motion Disapproved(Explanatory statement must be appended)	•
3.	Action "is deferred"	
4.	Resolution Noted	
5.	Other	

President

Date

Motion from the Senate Constitution/Bylaws Committee to amend Section 3.2 of the Bylaws to read as

"3.2. The Steering Committee shall place items on the agenda, shall request that the President of the Senate conduct open hearings on items, or shall request that the

President of the Senate refer items to another committee for comment and study. The Steering Committee shall arrange for the distribution to each faculty member a copy of any proposal, be it resolution, report, or other document, from any committee of the University at least seven days in advance of the meeting at which the proposal appears as an agenda item. Notwithstanding, the Curriculum Committee shall be permitted to communicate proposed curricular changes four days in advance of a Senate meeting. To recommit an item back to the Standing Committee, however, requires a vote of the Senate."

Sen. Wolff, Chair of the Committee, explained the reason for the suggested change having to do with the scheduling conflict between the meeting dates of the Curriculum committee and the Faculty Senate, which in turn, could delay the publication schedule of the University catalog

Motion to approve the recommended change to the Bylaws (Murphy):

Passed by a vote of 13 in favor, 5 against and 3 abstentions